

NEW YORK, NY 10036-2714

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/889,653	07/19/2001	Fuminori Takizawa	P/1909-152	8648
7590 11/03/2003			EXAMINER	
STEVEN I. WEISBURD, ESQ.			NGUYEN, LAM S	
DICKESTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP				D - DCD 3410 (DCD
1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
41ST FLOOR			2853	

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application N . Applicant(s) TAKIZAWA, FUMINORI 09/889.653 **Advisory Action Art Unit** Examin r 2853 LAM S NGUYEN -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sh t with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 22 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a

final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued

conditi Exami	nation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) 🔀 b) 🗀	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
have bee 37 CFR (b) above	ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee en filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in e, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any eatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a)	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b)	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: See item 10.
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5.	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected: 1-20.
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8.	The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10.🛛	Other: See Continuation Sheet

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/889,653

Continuation of 10. Other: The applicants argued that Hori fails to disclose "drive means for applying said drive waveform signals to said piezoelectric actuators by selecting none or only one of a plurality of drive waveform signals" (page 12). However, as discussed in the Final Office, according to FIG. 2 and column 5, line 4-10, Hori discloses that only one drive signal of plurality of drive signals is selected by a waveform selector to apply to a piezoelectric element, each of the drive signal is a composite waveform. It is aslo noted that the type of waveform (composite or uniform) in each fo the drive waveform signals as claimed is not specified and is therefore not relevant. Thus, Hori's disclosure reads on the claim language of the claims. As a result, the Final Rejection is maintained.

HAI PHAM PRIMARY EXAMINER

1=/30/03

HarchiPhone